UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,392	07/07/2003	John K. Fraser	CYTH.002DV2	5906
	7590 09/29/200 RTENS OLSON & BE	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			LANKFORD JR, LEON B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1651		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/29/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/614,392	FRASER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Leon B. Lankford	1651		

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Leon B. Lankford. (3) (2) Eirc Furman. (4) Date of Interview: 14 July 2008. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: all. Identification of prior art discussed: of record. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was		Leon B. Lankford	1651	
Date of Interview: 14 July 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: all. Identification of prior art discussed: of record. Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was	All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO)	personnel):		
Date of Interview: 14 July 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: all. Identification of prior art discussed: of record. Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was	(1) <u>Leon B. Lankford</u> .	(3)		
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: all. Identification of prior art discussed: of record. Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was	(2) <u>Eirc Furman</u> .	(4)		
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) □ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) □ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: all. Identification of prior art discussed: of record. Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) □ was not reached. h) □ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was	Date of Interview: <u>14 July 2008</u> .			
If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: all. Identification of prior art discussed: of record. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was		²)⊠ applicant's representative	·]	
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>of record</u> . Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was		e)⊠ No.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was	Claim(s) discussed: <u>all</u> .			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was	Identification of prior art discussed: of record.			
	Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.	
reached, or any other comments: The amendments to the claims would appear to place the case in condition for allowance. This case is incorrectly identified as in after final staus in PAIR but the previous office action was a restriction requirement not a final rejection. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT I GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	reached, or any other comments: <u>The amendments to the callowance</u> . This case is incorrectly identified as in after final restriction requirement not a final rejection. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	claims would appear to place to all staus in PAIR but the previous ments which the examiner agong opp of the amendments that was also of the action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, N	the case in condus office action of the case in condustrial would render the could render the case of	er the claims claims OF THE LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
/Leon B Lankford/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1651			254	

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Examiner's signature, if required